

Monitoring the News Media Checklist

What to Look At

■ Headlines ■ Images (Photographs or Video Clips)

■ Content

What to Look For

Accuracy

• Are the facts presented in the story correct ?
Are charges or reports presented to the reader as if they were undisputed facts? EXAMPLE:
Are quotes presented accurately and fully or are they reworded in ways that change their original meaning?
EXAMPLE:
Is the relevant historical record presented accurately? EXAMPLE:
Are the photographs (and cutlines) that accompany the story really part of the story? (Captions are above pictures and are rarely used; cutlines are underneath and more common.)
EXAMPLE:



Omissions

• Is crucial information or context missing?
Is important information about the story's events missing?
EXAMPLE:
Is background information about, or the reasons behind, the story's events missing?
EXAMPLE:
Are all the relevant facts presented?
EXAMPLE:
Are related stories from the area covered in this report or in other reports in your news source?
EXAMPLE:



Balance

• Is there balance in the reporting? ("Balance" need not be an exact 50-50 ratio of pro-and-con but implies sufficient space or time for each side to present its basic claims/positions).
Does the report provide room (or time) for one side to answer charges leveled by another side?
EXAMPLE:
Is the response to an accusation or allegation placed close to the actual accusation? EXAMPLE:
Is the cause of an action placed close to the description of the actual action? That is, are cause-and-effect clearly reported? EXAMPLE:
Does the report give sufficient time or space to both or all sides of a story so that the news is presented with enough detail to be understood properly? EXAMPLE:
Does the report treat spokespersons from all sides equally? EXAMPLE:



Does the report give at least equal weight to mainstream or official positions on a topic as it does to opposition or fringe positions?
EXAMPLE:
Does the report hold one side of a conflict to a different standard of behavior or performance than other sides?
EXAMPLE:
Do the words (and images) of the report focus on the distress suffered by one side of the conflict but not the others?
EXAMPLE:
Are the images accompanying a report balanced in terms of their emotional impact or representative of the facts of the news being reported?
EXAMPLE:
Is the amount of space or time devoted to the Arab-Israeli conflict proportional to other international conflicts reported on that day?
EXAMPLE:



Language

 Does the language chosen seem to be slanted in favor or against one side?
Is emotional or negative language used for one side in the conflict but neutral or less emotional language used for others?
EXAMPLE:
Are words that are slanted to one side in the conflict used? EXAMPLE:
Are passive verbs used for one side in the conflict and active verbs for the other? EXAMPLE:
Are aggressors in the conflict clearly labeled as such? EXAMPLE:
Are the same words used to describe similar actions no matter where they take place or who is responsible for them? EXAMPLE:
-



Advocacy

• Does the report seem to be promoting one side in the conflict?
Does an opinion appear in a news story as if it were a fact?
EXAMPLE:
Does a report quote "experts" as neutrals when they have strong opinions about the conflict
EXAMPLE:
Do images that accompany a report make one side look better than another? If so, are they images that represent the substance of the news being reported?
EXAMPLE: